MACHINE VIBRATION STANDARDS: OK, GOOD, BETTER & BEST

Part 1 – What causes vibration and why do we care about it?

Barry T. Cease
Cease Industrial Consulting
September 9th, 2011
Part 1: Why do we care about machine vibration levels? What causes vibration?

- A machine’s vibration level “reflects” the amount of dynamic forces present in the machine.

- A machine is designed to withstand a certain level of dynamic force or dynamic stresses. Once this level is exceeded, expected machine life decreases and reliability suffers.

- **Total Forces = Static Forces + Dynamic Forces.**

- Examples of **static forces** in rotating machinery: weight or gravity, belt tension, pre-loads due to misalignment or improper installation, etc.

- Examples of **dynamic forces** in rotating machinery: unbalance, effects of looseness, a portion of the effects of misalignment, etc.
How vibration effects a material’s strength (S-N diagram).

- The diagram below is known as a S-N diagram for materials. It shows the relationship between a material’s strength (S) versus the number of loading cycles (N) it is subjected to.
- For most structural materials such as steel, iron, titanium, aluminum, etc, a material’s strength (S) decreases with the number of loading cycles (N) until a limiting number of cycles ($10^6$ cycles @ 50 kpsi) known as the endurance limit ($S_e$) or fatigue limit is reached.
- Depending on the type of material used, the original design strength can be reduced by $\frac{1}{2}$ to $\frac{1}{4}$ simply due to fatigue (from diagram, 120 kpsi $\rightarrow$ 50 kpsi).
- 3,600 rpm $\rightarrow$ 4.6 hrs to limit.
- 1,800 rpm $\rightarrow$ 9.25 hrs to limit.
- 900 rpm $\rightarrow$ 18.52 hrs to limit.
- Think of bending a paper clip. How many times can you bend it by $\frac{1}{2}$” or so until it breaks?
An example of conservative machine design for fatigue.

- Higher vibration levels reflect higher alternating (dynamic) stresses.

- As either the mean (static) or alternating (dynamic) stresses rise, the real factor of safety in the machine design drops.

- So, for a designed factor of safety (FS) such as 3 and a known endurance strength ($S_e$), we must keep our real mean & alternating stresses inside the Soderburg Line or other design limits to achieve our design life.

The mean stress is

$$\sigma_m = \frac{\sigma_{\text{max}} + \sigma_{\text{min}}}{2}$$

The alternating stress is half of the range stress.

Dynamic Stress

$$\sigma_r = \sigma_{\text{max}} - \sigma_{\text{min}}$$

$$\sigma_{\text{alt}} = \frac{1}{2} \sigma_r = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) (\sigma_{\text{max}} - \sigma_{\text{min}})$$

$$FS = \frac{S_e}{\sigma_{eq}} = \frac{S_e}{\sigma_{alt} + \left(\frac{S_e}{S_yt}\right) \sigma_m}$$
Additional factors effecting a material’s endurance strength.

- In addition to the amount of fluctuating stress a machine is subjected to, other factors exist that effect it’s life such as:
  - **Stress Concentration Factors:** Discontinuities or irregularities in the design or geometry of a part that cause an amplification or rise in localized stresses (see plot at right for examples).
  - **Surface finish:** Generally, the more smooth a material’s surface is finished or polished, the less it’s strength is reduced.
  - **Corrosion:** Corrosion has particularly nasty effects on a material’s strength in that unlike the other factors mentioned above, corrosion tends to continually reduce a material’s endurance strength over time until failure inevitably occurs. There is no fatigue limit for a part subjected to corrosion. Minimize corrosion!\(^1\)

![Calculation of common stress concentration factors\(^2\)]
Two examples of shaft failure by fatigue (bending stress with corrosion):

- This is what fatigue failure looks like on a shaft subjected to both bending stress and corrosion.
- In both cases over half of the shaft area had already been lost due to fatigue (crack propagation) before final failure occurred.
Example of shaft failure by fatigue (torsion with stress concentration @ keyway):

- Note how the crack started at the keyway and propagated out from there. Ultimate failure of the shaft occurred after roughly 25% of the shaft area had been lost.
How bearing life is effected by both dynamic loading & machine speed.

From the SKF products catalog, we learn that a given bearing’s life expressed in hours of continuous operation can be estimated as:

\[ L_{10} = \frac{1,000,000}{60 \times rpm} \times (C/P)^3 \]

C = A bearing’s basic dynamic load rating (found in catalog).

P = Equivalent dynamic bearing load.

rpm = machine speed (rpm)
Two examples of common bearing failures:

Outer race fault (spalling) on a spherical roller bearing.

Inner race fault (spalling) on a triple race spherical roller bearing.
Besides the dynamic forces present, what other factors effect vibration levels?

- The dynamic forces present in a machine are only one of many factors that effect the amount of vibration measured at a machine.

- The amount of vibration measured at a machine depends on at least the following factors:

1. Amount of dynamic force \( (F_o) \).
2. System mass \( (m) \).
3. Stiffness of mechanical system \( (k) \).
4. Damping in mechanical system \( (c) \).
5. How (if at all) do the frequency(s) of the driving dynamic forces interact with any system natural frequencies?

The equation of motion for a damped single degree of motion system driven by a harmonic force is as follows in two forms\(^4\):

\[
m\ddot{x} + c\dot{x} + kx = F_o \cos \omega t
\]

\[
\ddot{x} = \frac{(F_o \cos \omega t - c\dot{x} - kx)}{m}
\]

Same equation solved for acceleration.
Force diagram & the effects of system natural frequencies on vibration levels

Force diagram of a damped single degree of freedom mechanical system driven by a harmonic force\cite{4}.

Transmissibility diagram showing the effect of a resonance on vibration levels\cite{4}. Resonance acts as a mechanical amplifier of vibration.

\[
m\ddot{x} + c\dot{x} + kx = F_0 \cos \omega t
\]

\[
\omega = \text{frequency of vibration (rad/sec)} = 2\pi f
\]

\[
\omega_n = \text{system natural frequency (rad/sec)} = 2\pi f_n
\]

\[
\zeta = \text{damping ratio} = \text{damping/critical damping}
\]

\[
\omega_n = \sqrt{k/m} = \text{Undamped natural frequency}
\]

\[
\omega_d = \omega_n \sqrt{1 - \zeta^2} = \text{Damped natural frequency}
\]
The effect of system natural frequencies on vibration levels

If we let \( r = \frac{\omega}{\omega_n} \) then the response of a damped mechanical system under a harmonic force is:

\[
x(t) = X \sin(\omega t - \varphi) = \text{Harmonic motion}
\]

\( \xi = \text{Damping Ratio} = \text{Damping} / \text{Critical Damping} \)

\( X = \text{Maximum displacement} \)

\( F_0 = \text{Static Force} \)

\( k = \text{System stiffness} \)

\[
X = \frac{F_0/k}{\sqrt{(1-r^2)^2 + (2\xi r)^2}}
\]
How higher vibration levels tend to increase maintenance costs ($):

- Another particularly nasty quality commonly associated with machines exhibiting high vibration levels is their tendency to fail unexpectedly resulting in the following additional costs to the plant:

1) A potential loss of plant production as a result of unscheduled machine failure that interrupts a process.

2) A real possibility of machine failure occurring at a time when repair resources (labor or materials) are not available.

3) Machine damage is typically more extensive & costly to repair if the machine is allowed to run to failure.
What are the pros & cons of each approach?

Pro-Active Maintenance ($6/hp/yr)

Predictive or Condition Based Maintenance ($9/hp/yr)

Preventive or Time-Based Maintenance ($13/hp/yr)

Breakdown or Run-to-Failure Maintenance ($18/hp/yr)

Pro-Active Maintenance efforts involve lowering the dynamic stresses on machines which are reflected in lower vibration levels.

A related discussion involving the relative costs of implementing different maintenance philosophies[5]:
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